Creating a Coordinated, Integrated Early Care and Education System: State Early Childhood Administration

American families are faced with the challenge of finding and affording quality child care. Parents must navigate a patchwork system of providers, and for many, the system (or lack thereof) can make finding, accessing, and affording this care a nightmare. This is especially true for low-income families who must also navigate the subsidy system. And once in a program, dealing with the fragmentation of services further costs parents time and money. For example, when children age out of Early Head Start at age 3, parents are required to reapply for Head Start.

While Congress allocates billions of dollars to federal agencies for ECE, state governments are tasked with coordinating and combining the many different ECE funding streams allocated to them. The way states administer these funds can result in inconsistencies in program implementation and monitoring, leading to even more frustration for families. Requests for studies from the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) dating back to 1994 show a consistent belief that there would be sufficient funding to serve most children if duplication and overlap of ECE funds were reduced and states aligned programs more efficiently. States, on the other hand, frequently point to the federal government as the reason for the duplication.

Congress, however, intended for states to be more aggressive in coordinating ECE programs with the creation of the State Advisory Council (SAC) for Early Childhood Education and Care in the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007. SACs are responsible for supporting state efforts in identifying opportunities for collaboration and coordination among ECE programs and facilitating the development of high quality ECE systems. Despite SACs being required by law, only 45 states and the District of Columbia have functioning SACs.

Additionally, while GAO reports have provided more information on administration at the federal level, there remains little information about administration at the state level. A 2017 GAO report on the topic of fragmentation and overlap in ECE found that, at the federal level, there was improved coordination resulting in more efficient administration of funds. The report identified nine major programs with an explicit purpose of providing support to early learning or child care programs. To date, however, GAO has not looked at state implementation of the nine federal funding streams.

The Bipartisan Policy Center set out to examine in greater depth the issues of administration (governance), coordination, and integration by reviewing the requirements set forth in the various authorizing statutes and followed by federal agency requirements, as well as how the states were administering ECE funds. For all but one federal program, governors have wide discretion with regard to the administration and coordination of these funds.

With this in mind, BPC is making recommendations at the federal and state level with an eye towards further reducing duplication and improving results for families. These recommendations are summarized in the full report. The following summarizes the findings for Kansas.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR KANSAS’ EARLY CARE AND EDUCATION SYSTEM

- Facilitate cross-agency communication to ensure seamless coordination and transition for IDEA Part C (infants/toddlers) and Part B, Section 619 (3-5 year olds).
- Increase efficiency and cost-effectiveness of monitoring and oversight by aligning the administration of the Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF) with state Pre-K and the Child and Adult Care Food Program.
- Improve program alignment and efficiency by colocating CCDF with state Pre-K and Head Start Collaboration Office.
- Provide support for the establishment of a new State Advisory Council (SAC) for Early Education and Care, as mandated by the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007, and ensure the SAC is fulfilling its required activities, including conducting a statewide needs assessment on the quality and availability of early care and learning programs.
- Include licensing as the entry level for state Quality Rating and Improvement System to ensure program quality.
Flow of Federal Early Childhood Funds: Kansas

**Department of Health and Human Services**
- Office of Head Start
  - CCDF
- Office of Child Care Assistance
  - TANF
- Office of Family Assistance
  - TANF
- Food & Nutrition Service
  - CACFP

**Department of Agriculture**
- Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services
  - Part B, Section 619
  - Part C

**Department of Education**
- Office of Postsecondary Education
  - CCAMPIS

**Department for Children and Families, Economic & Employment Services, Child Care and Early Education**
- Child Care Subsidy
  - CCDF
- Links to Quality - Quality Rating Improvement System
  - CCDF
- Children’s Cabinet
  - SAC is no longer extant - ended in 2014
- Head Start Collaboration Office
- Successful Families Program
  - TANF

**Department of Health and Environment, Bureau of Family Health**
- Child Care Licensing
  - CCDF
- Infant - Toddler Services, Special Health Services
  - Part C

**Division of Learning Services, Early Childhood Special Education and Title Services**
- Part B, Section 619
  - State Pre-K

**Child Nutrition and Wellness**
- CACFP

**Quality Rating Improvement System (QRIS)**
- The state’s QRIS is being piloted.

**Local Institutions**
- Local Head Start Programs

**Office of the Governor**

**KEY:**
- Funding mandated for State Departments of Education
- Funding provided directly to local organizations
- State does not receive funding

**Flow of Federal Early Childhood Funds: Kansas**
# ECE Funding in Kansas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding from Nine GAO-Identified Programs</th>
<th>FY 2016 Funding</th>
<th>Numbers Served</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDF Expenditure</td>
<td>$65,007,015</td>
<td>12,400</td>
<td>3,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer from TANF</td>
<td>$0 (0.0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start Allocation</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,890</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head Start</td>
<td>$66,716,306</td>
<td>7,513</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Head Start</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,377</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEA Part C, Allocation (infant/toddler)</td>
<td>$4,383,238</td>
<td>9,645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDEA Part B, Section 619 Allocation (3–5 years)</td>
<td>$4,208,377</td>
<td>11,437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool Development Grant</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCAMPIS FY17 Grants</td>
<td>$250,551</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promise Neighborhoods FY16</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy</td>
<td>$27,042,948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional ECE Funding Streams</th>
<th>FY 2016 Funding</th>
<th>Numbers Served</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF Child Care Expenditure (Direct)</td>
<td>$6,673,025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TANF Pre-K / Head Start Expenditure</td>
<td>$13,440,673</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACFP Allocation</td>
<td>$29,718,835</td>
<td>49,186</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race to the Top, Early Learning Challenge</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Head Start-Child Care Partnership</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BPC Reviewed

BPC reviewed the following federally-funded programs to assess the efficiency and integration of Kansas’s early care and education system:

- Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF)
- Head Start
- Child Care Access Means Parents in School (CCAMPIS)
- Early Intervention Program for Infants and Toddlers with Disabilities (IDEA Part C)
- Preschool Development Grants (PDG)
- Preschool Grants for Children with Disabilities (IDEA Part B, Section 619)
- Promise Neighborhoods
- Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy
- Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP)
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)
- Early Head Start - Child Care Partnership (EHS-CCP)
- Race to the Top, Early Learning Challenge

## Median Family Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2-PERSON FAMILY</th>
<th>4-PERSON FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNDER 3</td>
<td>117,913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 YEARS OLD</td>
<td>79,388</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 YEARS OLD</td>
<td>38,245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Percentage of Children in Poverty

15.1%

### Median Family Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2-PERSON FAMILY</th>
<th>4-PERSON FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-PERSON FAMILY</td>
<td>$63,754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-PERSON FAMILY</td>
<td>$83,723</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eligibility Requirements Set by State

Child Care And Development Fund

Children through age 12 are eligible; children with mental and physical disabilities are eligible through age 18. No minimum required parental work hours. Training, education (high school, GED classes), and working are approved activities for eligibility. ESL classes, postsecondary education, and job searching are not approved. For initial and continuing eligibility a family of two must earn $2,457 or less per month and family of four must earn $3,739 or less per month.

In 2018, states will begin to see an increase in CCDF funding due to the $2.37 billion increase to the Child Care and Development Block Grant (CCDBG) passed in the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018.

State Pre-K (FY17)

4-year-olds at or below 185 percent of federal poverty level are eligible for the State Pre-Kindergarten Program and the Kansas Preschool Program, the state’s Pre-K programs.

Total State Spending on Pre-K: $17,583,501
Local Match: No
Total State Head Start Spending: $0
Total FY 2017 Enrollment: 8,011

IDEA Part C (infant/toddler)

Children under age 3 with 25 percent developmental delay in at least one of five developmental areas, 20 percent developmental delay in two or more areas, a developmental delay condition or other relevant condition diagnosed by a professional, those at-risk of developmental delay, or based on informed clinical opinion are eligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCDF Allocation</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$19,452,629</td>
<td>$20,236,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$52,123,072</td>
<td>$71,199,499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared our children for future success should be an American issue, not a partisan issue. BPC is working to bring together voices from both parties to empower and support children and working families.
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